## GOA INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patto Plaza, Panaji.

Appeal / 26 / SIC / 2008 / Shri Subodh S. Sawant, B-2, Shanti Campus, Nr. Mehul Talkies, Nr Mahesh Tutorials, Mulund, ..... Appellant West, Mumbai - 400 080 V/s The Public Information Officer, 1. Shri Pramod D. Bhat, The Mamlatdar of Bicholim Taluka, ..... Respondent No.1.. Bicholim - Goa. The Public Information Officer, 2. Shri Pramod D. Bhat, In the Office of The Administrator of Devasthans of Bicholim Taluka, Bicholim - Goa. ..... Respondent No.2. 3. The First Appellate Authority, Shri Arvind V. Budge, The Deputy Collector & S.D.O., Bicholim Sub-Division, Bicholim - Goa. ..... Respondent No.3..

**CORAM:** Shri G. G. Kambli State Information Commissioner

(Per G. G. Kambli)

Dated: 06/08/2008.

Appellant in person.

Both the respondents in person.

## <u>O R D E R</u>

By an application dated 04/02/2008 bearing reference No. 10, the Appellant requested the Respondent No.1 to provide two certified copies of the report of the Mamlatdar bearing No.MAM/BICH/DEV/2007/1561 dated 13 August, 2007 submitted to the Collectorate of North-Goa, under the Right to Information Act 2005 (for short the Act). The Respondent No. 1 forwarded the said application of the Appellant to self as administrator of Devasthan of Bicholim Talulka vide letter No. MAM/BICH/RIA/219/2008/ 2799 dated 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2008 under section 6 (3) of the Act for appropriate action. As the Appellant did not receive any reply from the Respondent No. 1, the Appellant preferred an Appeal to the Respondent No. 2, the First Appellate Authority on 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2008. The First Appellate Authority also did not dispose off the first Appeal within the time limit specified in subsection (6) of section 19 of the Act. Hence, the present 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal.

2. Having issued the notices, the Appellant as well as both the Respondents appeared in person. After hearing both the sides, the Respondent No. 1 was directed to give a suitable reply to the Appellant within a week time and file the compliance report on 30/07/2008. In pursuant to the said direction of this Commission, the Respondent No. 1 filed the compliance report along with the reply dated 25/07/2008 sent to the Appellant. It is seen from the reply sent to the Appellant, the Respondent No.1 informed the Appellant that no such information/document are available in the Devasthan section of the Respondent No. 1. Since the Respondent No. 1 has already provided the information to the Appellant nothing survives in the present Appeal and therefore the same is disposed off accordingly.

Pronounced in the Open Court on this  $6^{th}$  day of August, 2008 at 11.00 a.m.

Sd/-(G. G. Kambli) State Information Commissioner